# Chapter 13

# **Titrations in Analytical Chemistry**

## **Titrations in Analytical Chemistry**

Titration methods are based on determining the quantity of a reagent of known concentration that is required to react completely with the analyte.

➤ The reagent may be a standard solution of a chemical or an electric current of known magnitude.

> Volumetric titrations involve measuring the volume of a solution of known concentration that is needed to react completely with the analyte.

➢ In Gravimetric titrations, the mass of the reagent is measured instead of its volume.

➢ In Coulometric titrations, the "reagent" is a constant direct electrical current of known magnitude that consumes the analyte. For this titration, the time required (and thus the total charge) to complete the electrochemical reaction is measured (see Section 22D-5).

This chapter provides introductory material that applies to all the different types of titrations

Typical setup for carrying out a titration  $\geq$ 

Charles D.

Charles D. Winters

The reference point on the meniscus and the proper position of the eye for reading are depicted in Figure 2-21. Charles D. Winters

Before the titration begins indicator should be added.

The titrant is added to the flask with swirling until the

## **13A SOME TERMS USED IN VOLUMETRIC TITRATIONS**

A **standard solution** (or a standard titrant) is a reagent of known concentration that is used to carry out a volumetric titration.

The **titration** is performed by slowly adding a standard solution from a buret or other liquid-dispensing device to a solution of the analyte until the reaction between the two is judged complete.

The volume or mass of reagent needed to complete the titration is determined from the difference between the initial and final readings.

It is sometimes necessary to add an excess of the standard titrant and then determine the excess amount by **back-titration** with a second standard titrant.

**Back-titrations** are often required when the rate of reaction between the analyte and reagent is slow or when the standard solution lacks stability.

## 13A-1 Equivalence Points and End Points

• The equivalence point is the point in a titration when the amount of added standard reagent is equivalent to the amount of analyte.

- The equivalence point of a titration cannot be determined experimentally.
- It can only be estimated by observing some physical change associated with the condition of chemical equivalence called the end point for the titration.
- Indicators are often added to the analyte solution to produce an observable physical change (signaling the end point) at or near the equivalence point.
- The difference in volume or mass between the equivalence point and the end point is the titration error.

The titration error is given as:  $\mathrm{E_{t}}$  =  $\mathrm{V_{ep}}-\mathrm{V_{eq}}$ 

#### Where

V<sub>ep</sub> is the actual volume of reagent required to reach the End Poi

 $V_{eq}$  is the theoretical volume necessary to reach the equivalence point.



## **13A-2Primary Standards**

A primary standard is an ultrapure compound that serves as the reference material for a titration or for another type of quantitative analysis.

A primary standard must fulfill the following requirements:

- 1. High purity. 2. Atmospheric stability. 3. Modest cost.
- 4. Absence of hydrate water so that the composition of the solid does not change with variations in humidity.
- 5. Reasonable solubility in the titration medium.
- 6. Reasonably large molar mass so that the relative error associated with weighing the standard is minimized.

\* Very few compounds meet or even approach these criteria, and only a limited number of primary-standard substances are available commercially. As a consequence, less pure compounds must sometimes be used in place of a primary standard. The purity of such a **secondary standard must be established by careful analysis.** 

\* A **secondary standard** is a compound whose purity has been determined by chemical analysis. The secondary standard serves as the working standard material for titrations and for many other analyses.

## **13 B STANDARD SOLUTIONS**

The ideal standard solution for a titrimetric method will:

- 1. be sufficiently stable so that it is necessary to determine its concentration only once;
- react rapidly with the analyte so that the time required between additions of reagent is minimized;
- 3. react more or less completely with the analyte so that satisfactory end points are realized;
- 4. undergo a selective reaction with the analyte that can be described by a balanced equation.

The accuracy of a titration depends on the accuracy of the concentration of the standard solution used. Two basic methods that are used to establish the concentration are:

- 1. Direct method
- 2. Standardization

The direct method is a method in which a carefully determined mass of a primary standard is dissolved in a suitable solvent and diluted to a known volume in a volumetric flask.

The second is by standardization in which the titrant to be standardized is used to titrate

- (1) a known mass of a primary standard,
- (2) a known mass of a secondary standard, or
- (2) a measured volume of another standard solution

## 13 C Volumetric calculations

➤ The concentration of solutions may be expressed in several ways. For standard solutions, either molar concentration, c, or normal concentration, cN, is used.

➢ Molar concentration is the number of moles of reagent contained in one liter of solution, and normal concentration is the number of equivalents of reagent in the same volume.

### 13C-1 Some Useful Relationships

For the chemical species A, we can write

amount A(mol) = mass A (g)/molar mass A (g/mol)

amount A (mmol) = mass A (g)/millimolar mass A (g/mmol)

Amount A (mol) = V(L)  $\times$  c<sub>A</sub> (mol A/L)

amount A (mmol) = V (mL)  $\times$  c<sub>A</sub> (mmol A/L)

### 13C-2 Calculating the Molar Concentration of Standard Solutions

### **EXAMPLE 13-1**

Describe the preparation of 2.000 L of 0.0500 M AgNO $_3$  (169.87 g/mol) from the primary-standard-grade solid.

## Solution

mount AgNO<sub>3</sub> = 
$$V_{soln}(L) \times c_{AgNO_3}(mol/L)$$
  
= 2.00  $\Bbbk \times \frac{0.0500 \text{ mol } AgNO_3}{\Bbbk} = 0.100 \text{ mol } AgNO_3$ 

To obtain the mass of AgNO3, we rearrange Equation 13-2 to give

mass AgNO<sub>3</sub> = 0.1000 mol AgNO<sub>3</sub> × 
$$\frac{169.87 \text{ g AgNO}_3}{\text{mol AgNO}_3}$$
  
= 16.987 g AgNO<sub>3</sub>

Therefore, the solution should be prepared by dissolving 16.987 g of AgNO<sub>3</sub> in water and diluting to the mark in a 2.000 L volumetric flask.

A standard 0.0100 M solution of Na<sup>+</sup> is required to calibrate an ion-selective electrode method to determine sodium. Describe how 500 mL of this solution can be prepared from primary standard Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (105.99 g/mL).

### Solution

We wish to compute the mass of reagent required to produce a species concentration of 0.0100 M. In this instance, we will use millimoles since the volume is in milliliters. Because  $Na_2CO_3$  dissociates to give two  $Na^+$  ions, we can write that the number of millimoles of  $Na_2CO_3$  needed is

amount Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> = 500 mE × 
$$\frac{0.0100 \text{ mmol Na}^{\pm}}{\text{mE}}$$
 ×  $\frac{1 \text{ mmol Na}_2\text{CO}_3}{2 \text{ mmol Na}^{\pm}}$   
= 2.50 mmol

From the definition of millimole, we write

mass Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> = 2.50 mmol Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> × 105.99 
$$\frac{\text{mg Na}_2\text{CO}_3}{\text{mmol Na}_2\text{CO}_3}$$

Since there are 1000 mg/g, or 0.001 g/mg, the solution should be prepared by dissolving

How would you prepare 50.0-mL portions of standard solutions that are 0.00500 M, 0.00200 M, and 0.00100 M in Na<sup>+</sup> from the solution in Example 13-2?

### Solution

The number of millimoles of Na<sup>+</sup> taken from the concentrated solution must equal the number in the dilute solutions. Thus,

amount  $Na^+$  from concd soln = amount  $Na^+$  in dil soln

Recall that the number of millimoles is equal to the number of millimoles per milliliter times the number of milliliters, that is,

$$V_{\rm concd} \times c_{\rm concd} = V_{\rm dil} \times c_{\rm dil}$$

where  $V_{\text{concd}}$  and  $V_{\text{dil}}$  are the volumes in milliliters of the concentrated and diluted solutions, respectively, and  $c_{\text{concd}}$  and  $c_{\text{dil}}$  are their molar Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations. For the 0.00500-M solution, this equation can be rearranged to

$$V_{\rm concd} = \frac{V_{\rm dil} \times c_{\rm dil}}{c_{\rm concd}} = \frac{50.0 \text{ mL} \times 0.005 \text{ mmol} \text{ Na}^{+}/\text{mL}}{0.0100 \text{ mmol} \text{ Na}^{+}/\text{mL}} = 25.0 \text{ mL}$$

Therefore, to produce 50.0 mL of 0.00500 M Na<sup>+</sup>, 25.0 mL of the concentrated solution should be diluted to exactly 50.0 mL.

Repeat the calculation for the other two molarities to confirm that diluting 10.0 and 5.00 mL of the concentrated solution to 50.0 mL produces the desired

## 13C-3 Working with Titration Data

- 1. Concentrations of solutions that have been standardized against either a primary standard or another standard solution.
- 2. In the second, we calculate the amount of analyte in a sample from titration data.

### **Calculating**-Molar Concentrations from Standardization Data

A 50.00-mL portion of an HCl solution required 29.71 mL of 0.01963 M  $Ba(OH)_2$  to reach an end point with bromocresol green indicator. Calculate the molar concentration of the HCl.

#### Solution

In the titration, 1 mmol of Ba(OH)2 reacts with 2 mmol of HCl:

 $Ba(OH)_2 + 2HCl \rightarrow BaCl_2 + 2H_2O$ 

Thus, the stoichiometric ratio is

stoichiometric ratio =  $\frac{2 \text{ mmol HCl}}{1 \text{ mmol Ba(OH)}_2}$ 

The number of millimoles of the standard is calculated by substituting into Equation 13-4:

amount  $Ba(OH)_2 = 29.71 \text{ mL-}Ba(OH)_2 \times 0.01963 \frac{\text{mmol }Ba(OH)_2}{\text{mL-}Ba(OH)_2}$ 

To find the number of millimoles of HCl, we multiply this result by the stoichiometric ratio determined from the titration reaction:

amount HCl =  $(29.71 \times 0.01963) \text{ mmol-Ba}(\text{OH})_2 \times \frac{2 \text{ mmol HCl}}{1 \text{ mmol-Ba}(\text{OH})_2}$ 

To obtain the number of millimoles of HCl per mL, we divide by the volume of the acid. Therefore,

$$(20.71 \times 0.01062 \times 2)$$
 mmal HCl

Titration of 0.2121 g of pure  $Na_2C_2O_4$  (134.00 g/mol) required 43.31 mL of KMnO<sub>4</sub>. What is the molar concentration of the KMnO<sub>4</sub> solution? The chemical reaction is

 $2MnO_4^{-} + 5C_2O_4^{2+} + 16H^+ \rightarrow 2Mn^{2+} + 10CO_2 + 8H_2O_2$ 

#### Solution

From this equation we see that

stoichiometric ratio =  $\frac{2 \text{ mmol KMnO}_4}{5 \text{ mmol Na}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4}$ 

The amount of primary-standard Na2C2O4 is given by Equation 13-2

amount Na<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> = 0.2121 g Na<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ×  $\frac{1 \text{ mmol Na}_2C_2O_4}{0.13400 \text{ g Na}_2C_2O_4}$ 

To obtain the number of millimoles of KMnO<sub>4</sub>, we multiply this result by the stoichiometric ratio:

amount KMnO<sub>4</sub> = 
$$\frac{0.2121}{0.1340}$$
 mmol Na<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ×  $\frac{2 \text{ mmol KMnO}_4}{5 \text{ mmol Na}_2C_2O_4}$ 

The concentration of KMnO<sub>4</sub> is then obtained by dividing by the volume consumed. Thus,

$$c_{\text{KMnO}_{4}} = \frac{\left(\frac{0.2121}{0.13400} \times \frac{2}{5}\right) \text{mmol KMnO}_{4}}{1000} = 0.01462 \text{ M}_{4}$$

## Calculating the Quantity of Analyte from Titration Data

### **EXAMPLE 13-6**

A 0.8040-g sample of an iron ore is dissolved in acid. The iron is then reduced to Fe<sup>2+</sup> and titrated with 47.22 mL of 0.02242 M KMnO<sub>4</sub> solution. Calculate the results of this analysis in terms of (a) % Fe (55.847 g/mol) and (b) % Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (231.54 g/mol).

### Solution

The reaction of the analyte with the reagent is described by the equation

 $MnO_4^{-} + 5Fe^{2+} + 8H^+ \rightarrow Mn^{2+} + 5Fe^{3+} + 4H_2O$ 

(a) stoichiometric ratio = 
$$\frac{5 \text{ mmol Fe}^{2+}}{1 \text{ mmol KMnO}_4}$$

amount KMnO<sub>4</sub> = 47.22 mL KMnO<sub>4</sub> × 
$$\frac{0.02242 \text{ mmol KMnO}_4}{\text{mL KMnO}_4}$$

amount  $\text{Fe}^{2+} = (47.22 \times 0.02242) \text{ mmol KMnO}_{4} \times \frac{5 \text{ mmol Fe}^{2+}}{1 \text{ mmol KMnO}_{4}}$ 

The mass of Fe<sup>2+</sup> is then given by

mass 
$$Fe^{2+} = (47.22 \times 0.02242 \times 5) \text{ mmol } Fe^{2+} \times 0.055847 \frac{\text{g } Fe^{2+}}{\text{mmol } Fe^{2+}}$$

The percent Fe<sup>2+</sup> is % Fe<sup>2+</sup> =  $\frac{(47.22 \times 0.02242 \times 5 \times 0.055847) \text{ g Fe}^{2+}}{0.8040 \text{ g sample}} \times 100\% = 36.77\%$ 

(b) To determine the correct stoichiometric ratio, we note that

$$5 \text{ Fe}^{2+} \equiv 1 \text{ MnO}_4^-$$

Therefore,

$$5 \text{ Fe}_3 \text{O}_4 \equiv 15 \text{ Fe}^{2+} \equiv 3 \text{ MnO}_4^{-1}$$

and

stoichiometric ratio =  $\frac{5 \text{ mmol Fe}_3\text{O}_4}{3 \text{ mmol KMnO}_4}$ 

As in part (a),

$$\operatorname{amount KMnO_4} = \frac{47.22 \text{ mL KMnO_4} \times 0.02242 \text{ mmol KMnO_4}}{\text{mL KMnO_4}}$$
$$\operatorname{amount Fe_3O_4} = (47.22 \times 0.02242) \text{ mmol KMnO_4} \times \frac{5 \text{ mmol Fe_3O_4}}{3 \text{ mmol KMnO_4}}$$
$$\operatorname{mass Fe_3O_4} = \left(47.22 \times 0.02242 \times \frac{5}{3}\right) \text{mmol Fe_3O_4} \times 0.23154 \frac{\text{g Fe_3O_4}}{\text{mmol Fe_3O_4}}$$
$$\left(47.22 \times 0.02242 \times \frac{5}{3}\right) \times 0.23154 \text{ g Fe_3O_4}}{0.8040 \text{ g sample}} \times 100\% = 50.81\%$$

A 100.0-mL sample of brackish water was made ammoniacal, and the sulfide it contained was titrated with 16.47 mL of 0.02310 M AgNO<sub>3</sub>. The analytical reaction is

 $2Ag^+ + S^{2-} \rightarrow Ag_2S(s)$ 

Calculate the concentration of  $H_{2}S$  in the water in parts per million,  $c_{\rm ppm}$ 

Solution

At the end point,

stoichiometric ratio = 
$$\frac{1 \text{ mmol } \text{H}_2\text{S}}{2 \text{ mmol } \text{AgNO}_3}$$
  
amount AgNO<sub>3</sub> = 16.47 mL AgNO<sub>3</sub> × 0.02310  $\frac{\text{mmol } \text{AgNO}_3}{\text{mL } \text{AgNO}_3}$   
amount H<sub>2</sub>S = (16.47 × 0.02310) mmol AgNO<sub>3</sub> ×  $\frac{1 \text{ mmol } \text{H}_2\text{S}}{2 \text{ mmol } \text{AgNO}_3}$   
mass H<sub>2</sub>S =  $\left(16.47 \times 0.02310 \times \frac{1}{2}\right)$  mmol H<sub>2</sub>S × 0.034081  $\frac{\text{g } \text{H}_2\text{S}}{\text{mmol } \text{H}_2\text{S}}$   
= 6.483 × 10<sup>-3</sup> g H<sub>2</sub>S  
 $c_{\text{ppm}} = \frac{6.483 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g } \text{H}_2\text{S}}{100.0 \text{ mL } \text{sample} \times 1.00 \text{ g sample/mL } \text{sample}} \times 10^6 \text{ ppm}$   
= 64.8 ppm

The phosphorus in a 4.258-g sample of a plant food was converted to  $PO_4^{3^-}$ and precipitated as  $Ag_3PO_4$  by adding 50.00 mL of 0.0820 M AgNO<sub>3</sub>. The excess AgNO<sub>3</sub> was back-titrated with 4.06 mL of 0.0625 M KSCN. Express the results of this analysis in terms of %  $P_2O_5$ .

#### Solution

The chemical reactions are

$$P_2O_5 + 9H_2O \rightarrow 2PO_4^{3-} + 6H_3O^{-}$$

$$2PO_4^{3-} + 6 \operatorname{Ag}^+ \rightarrow 2Ag_3PO_4(s)$$

$$Ag^+ + SCN^- \rightarrow AgSCN(s)$$

The stoichiometric ratios are

$$\frac{1 \text{ mmol } P_2O_5}{6 \text{ mmol } AgNO_3} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 \text{ mmol } KSCN}{1 \text{ mmol } AgNO_3}$$
total amount  $AgNO_3 = 50.00 \text{ mE} \times 0.0820 \frac{\text{mmol } AgNO_3}{\text{mE}} = 4.100 \text{ mmol}$ 
amount  $AgNO_3$  consumed by  $KSCN = 4.06 \text{ mE} \times 0.0625 \frac{\text{mmol } KSCN}{\text{mE}}$ 

$$\times \frac{1 \text{ mmol } AgNO_3}{\text{mmol } KSCN}$$

$$= 0.2538 \text{ mmol}$$
amount  $P_2O_5 = (4.100 - 0.254) \text{ mmol } AgNO_3 \times \frac{1 \text{ mmol } P_2O_5}{6 \text{ mmol } AgNO_3}$ 

$$= 0.6410 \text{ mmol } 2O_5$$

$$\% P_2O_5 = \frac{0.6410 \text{ mmol } \times \frac{0.1419 \text{ g } P_2O_5}{4.258 \text{ g sample}} \times 100\% = 2.14\%$$

The CO in a 20.3-L sample of gas was converted to  $CO_2$  by passing the sample over iodine pentoxide heated to 150°C:

 $\mathrm{I_2O_5}(s) + 5\mathrm{CO}(g) \rightarrow 5\mathrm{CO_2}(g) + \mathrm{I_2}(g)$ 

The iodine was distilled at this temperature and was collected in an absorber containing 8.25 mL of 0.01101 M  $Na_2S_2O_3$ .

$$\mathbf{I_z}(g) + \mathbf{2S_2O_3^{z-}}(aq) \rightarrow \mathbf{2I^-}(aq) + \mathbf{S_4O_6^{z-}}(aq)$$

The excess  $Na_2S_2O_3$  was back-titrated with 2.16 mL of 0.00947 M  $I_2$  solution. Calculate the concentration of CO (28.01  $\alpha$ /mol) in mg per liter of sample. Solution

Based on the two reactions, the stoichiometric ratios are

$$\frac{5 \text{ mmol CO}}{1 \text{ mmol } I_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2 \text{ mmol } Na_2S_2O_3}{1 \text{ mmol } I_2}$$

We divide the first ratio by the second to get a third useful ratio

5 mmol CO 2 mmol Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

This relationship reveals that 5 mmol of CO are responsible for the consumption of 2 mmol of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The total amount of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is

amount Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> = 8.25 mL Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> × 0.01101 
$$\frac{\text{mmol Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3}{\text{mL Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3}$$

The amount of Na2S2O3 consumed in the back-titration is

amount Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> = 2.16 mL 
$$I_2 \times 0.00947 \frac{\text{mmol } I_2}{\text{mL } I_2} \times \frac{2 \text{ mmol } \text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3}{\text{mmol } I_2}$$
  
= 0.04091 mmol Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

The number of millimoles of CO can then be calculated by using the third stoichiometric ratio:

amount CO = (0.09083 - 0.04091) mmol Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ×  $\frac{5 \text{ mmol CO}}{2 \text{ mmol Na}_2S_2O_3}$ = 0.1248 mmol CO mass CO = 0.1248 mmol CO ×  $\frac{28.01 \text{ mg CO}}{\text{mmol CO}}$  = 3.4956 mg  $\frac{\text{mass CO}}{\text{vol sample}} = \frac{3.4956 \text{ mg CO}}{20.3 \text{ L sample}} = 0.172 \frac{\text{mg CO}}{\text{L sample}}$ 

## **13D GRAVIMETRIC TITRATIONS**

➤Mass (weight) or gravimetric titrations differ from their volumetric counterparts in that the mass of titrant is measured rather than the volume.

> Therefore, in a mass titration, a balance and a weighable solution dispenser are substituted for a buret and its markings.

### 13D-1 Calculations Associated with Mass Titrations

Concentration for mass titrations is expressed as the weight concentration,  $c_w$ , in weight molar concentration units,  $M_w$ , which is the number of moles of a reagent in one kilogram of solution or the number of millimoles in one gram of solution.

C <sub>w</sub> = <u>no. mol A</u>	= <u>no. mmol A</u>	$C_w(A) = \underline{n}_{A}$
no. kg soln	no. g soln	m <sub>solr</sub>

#### Where:

 $n_A$  is the number of moles of species A and  $m_{soln}$  is the mass of the solution.

## 13D-2 Advantages of Gravimetric Titrations

In addition to greater speed and convenience, mass titrations offer certain other advantages over their volumetric counterparts:

1. Calibration of glassware and tedious cleaning to ensure proper drainage are completely eliminated.

2. Temperature corrections are unnecessary because the mass (weight) molar concentration does not change with temperature, in contrast to the volume molar concentration. This advantage is particularly important in non-aqueous titrations because of the high coefficients of expansion of most organic liquids (about 10 times that of water).

3. Mass measurements can be made with considerably greater precision and accuracy than can volume measurements.

4. Gravimetric titrations are more easily automated than are volumetric titrations.

## **13 E TITRATION CURVES**

A titration curve is a plot of some function of the analyte or titrant concentration on the y axis versus titrant volume on the x axis.

**13E-1 Types of Titration Curves** There are two types of titration curves:

\* A sigmoidal curve in which the p-function of analyte (or sometimes the titrant) is plotted as a function of titrant volume. Important observations are confined to a small region (typically  $\pm$  0.1 to  $\pm$  0.5 mL) surrounding the equivalence point.

\* A linear segment curve in which measurements are made on both sides of, but well away from, the equivalence point. The vertical axis represents an instrument reading that is directly proportional to the concentration of the analyte or the titrant.



(b) Lincon compant aumura

## 13E-2 Concentration Changes During Titrations

Concentration Changes During a Titration of 50.00 mL of 0.1000 M HCI

Volur	ne of 0.1000 M	[H <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup> ],			14 12 p	Н
N	laOH, mL	mol/L	pН	рОН	10	
	0.00	0.1000	1.00	13.00	8	
40.91	40.91	0.0100	2.00	12.00		
8.11	49.01	$1.000  imes 10^{-3}$	3.00	11.00	0	
0.89	49.90	$1.000 imes10^{-4}$	4.00	10.00	4	20
0.09	49.99	$1.000  imes 10^{-5}$	5.00	9.00	2	
0.009	49.999	$1.000  imes 10^{-6}$	6.00	8.00	0 10 20 30 40 50 60	_
0.001	50.00	$1.000  imes 10^{-7}$	7.00	7.00	Volume NaOH, mL	
0.001	50.001	$1.000 imes10^{-8}$	8.00	6.00	The concentration of HCL is equal to	
0.009	50.01	$1.000  imes 10^{-9}$	9.00	5.00	the original number of millimoles of	
0.09	50.10	$1.000 imes10^{-10}$	10.00	4.00	HCI (50.00 mL x 0.1000 M) <i>minus</i> the	е
0.91	51.10	$1.000\times10^{-11}$	11.00	3.00	number of millimoles of NaOH added	al
10.10	61.11	$1.000 \times 10^{-12}$	12.00	2.00	volume of the solution:	

© Cengage Learning. All Rights Reserved.

Figure 13-3 Titration curves of pH and pOH versus volume of base for the titration of 0.1000 M HCl with 0.1000 M NaOH.

The equivalence point in a titration is characterized by major changes in the

# Homework (Due 13/03/2018)

Chapter 13, Questions and Problems

13-1, 13-3, 13-6, 13-8, 13-10, 13-11, 13-12, 13-13, 13-14, 13-16, 13-18, 13-20, 13-22, 13-24, 13-26, 13-28, 13-30.

End of Chapter 13